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Key Messages
- African countries are expected to experience some of the worst climate effects, while trying to provide higher electricity access and increase wellbeing.
- Concrete, steel, and aluminium present the largest opportunities for action, given their high mass or embodied emissions projections.
- Cumulative embodied emissions are similar in three scenarios: a reference scenario, and two scenarios related to the Paris Agreement (where renewable energy increases), showcasing the need to include material efficiency strategies along renewable energy.
- Material efficiency strategies (e.g., creating material pools or increasing production efficiency) should be pursued in parallel to renewable energy integration to the grid to reach the total low-carbon potential.

Introduction
Deploying low-carbon electricity systems in developing countries is critical for meeting climate targets while increasing wellbeing. Yet, energy-related emissions contribute 73% to global emissions [1]. To reduce emissions, electricity planning focuses on low-carbon generation. However, the materials required to build such technologies are frequently overlooked.

Addressing the full lifecycle of systems strengthens climate mitigation strategies. This requires balancing the upfront investment of emissions required for building electricity systems—called embodied emissions—against the reductions in direct emissions to ascertain how quickly overall emissions can be reduced.

In Africa, the total electricity demand was 613 TWh in 2015 and is projected to increase nine times (5,331 TWh) by 2065 [2]. Then, the total installed capacity projections increase from 183 GW in 2015 to 1,835 GW in 2065. Such increase requires low-
carbon technologies to avoid the worsening of the expected climate effects, considering that seven African countries are already in the top ten most vulnerable countries [3].

In response, this policy brief addresses the question ‘what are the material implications for delivering future electricity systems in African countries?’. This question is answered by quantifying the materials needed for building electricity systems in 47 African countries. A purpose-built model, called MAT-dp (Material Demand Projections) is used to assess three scenarios, including business as usual and two scenarios of low-carbon technology options. MAT-dp integrates projected technology deployment from the scenarios with life-cycle analysis tools to produce material budgets and embodied emissions accounts.

**Electricity generation considerations and material demand**

**Low-carbon generation considerations**

Building electricity generation has consequences for resource use, the resulting emissions, and grid infrastructure. Globally, fuel-intensive fossil-based electricity systems have been replaced by material-intensive renewable technologies (e.g., using copper for solar photovoltaic (PV) systems and iron for wind power), leading to an increased material demand [4, 5]. Increasing renewable generation also requires electricity storage and grid expansion to guarantee reliable and affordable supply [4]. Further, every kWh of electricity produced using renewable sources requires 0.1–0.25 kWh of non-renewable sources [5]. Fortunately, considering lifecycle implications and comparing renewables with fossil-fuel systems, deploying low-carbon generation can lead to lower overall emissions, lower pollution and higher electricity supply [5]. However, every kWh of electricity produced using renewable sources requires 0.1–0.25 kWh of non-renewable sources [5]. The shift to renewable energy should then reduce material use and non-renewable source dependency.

**MAT-dp**

MAT-dp uses electricity generation scenarios to calculate material implications of proposed systems divided by type of materials. The resulting embodied emissions depend on the material emissions intensity (shown in Table 1) and the mass of materials used (from the standardized material requirements per technology in Mat-dp).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>CO₂ footprint [kg CO₂ /kg material]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium</td>
<td>12.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bentonite [8]</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Fibre</td>
<td>20.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cast Iron</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceramics</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>3.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epoxy</td>
<td>7.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVA</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibre Glass</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubricant [9]</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Ferrous Metal</td>
<td>8.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paint [10]</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVC</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resin</td>
<td>3.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon</td>
<td>5.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stainless Steel</td>
<td>5.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Material implications of electricity generation scenarios in Africa**

**African electricity generation scenarios**

Electricity generation scenarios used include 47 African countries between 2015 and 2065 [2]. The scenarios were created considering energy demand and optimising costs. There are three scenarios: the Reference scenario, which considers national energy policies in place until 2017, and the 1.5°C and 2.0°C scenarios based on the Paris Agreement.
The aggregated capacity in all scenarios is projected to increase. The Reference scenario considers coal and other fossil-fuel generation to be deployed, while the 1.5 and 2.0°C scenarios project a decrease of coal generation by increasing the capacity of nuclear, renewables, biomass, and some fossil fuels with Carbon Capture and Storage. In the 2.0°C scenario, nuclear power ramps up between 2050 and 2065, while in the 1.5°C scenario nuclear power surpasses renewable generation between 2060 and 2065.

Materials for electricity generation scenarios

Figure 1 shows the required mass of each material by scenario. Generally, the 10-fold power generation capacity increase results in a 13-fold material mass increase. In each scenario, concrete accounts for the highest material mass, doubling in the Reference scenario between 2040 and 2065. Concrete demand is related to increasing coal and some hydropower. The total mass of concrete in the other two scenarios is lower than for the Reference scenario.

Apart from the MAT-dp material projections, transmission and distribution materials require a high mass of aluminium for substations and steel for transformers, while these materials are also required—in smaller quantities—for electricity storage [4]. Figure 2 shows the total embodied emissions for each scenario and material. Steel has the highest embodied emissions, with similar mass in all three scenarios. Aluminium has the second-largest embodied emissions, a material with high embodied emissions intensity. Aluminium emissions remain similar in all scenarios. The similar embodied emissions in all three scenarios are due to the similar quantities of steel and aluminium. This shows that unless material efficiency is considered for materials with either the highest embodied emissions or the highest demand, the emission reduction potential is not fully achieved, not even in scenarios that limit direct emissions.

“Strategies to increase material production and building efficiency in Africa should be created […] to further reduce total emissions.

The total African emissions were 1,185 MtCO₂ in 2017, i.e. 4% of global emissions [11]. Then, cumulative embodied emissions for electricity account for less than 10% of the total. Although this fraction is low, considering material provision has strategic importance since material production and use influences systems beyond electricity generation.

Figure 2: Total embodied emission by material (ordered according to emissions) for different electricity generation scenarios in Africa between 2015 and 2065. PVC means polyvinyl chloride.
Figure 3 shows the total embodied emissions divided by regional power pool. The total embodied emissions in each scenario are similar by 2065. The Reference scenario presents a more linear increase compared to the others. Embodied emissions for Northern Africa are high already in 2015, while the rest of the regions have steeper increases over time. From all the regional embodied emissions projections, Eastern and Western Africa are the highest. In each region, a handful of countries are responsible for over 50% of electricity demand and embodied emissions, having the highest increases. The Western African demand and embodied emissions are driven by Nigeria; in the East, they are driven by Egypt, Ethiopia, and Kenya; in the South by South Africa; and in the Central region by the Democratic Republic of Congo.

Figure 3: Embodied emissions for different electricity generation scenarios and regions in Africa between 2015 and 2065.

Implications for African countries
Reaching a global carbon-neutral system by 2060 requires rapid deployment of clean energy technologies [12], avoiding locking in high-carbon systems. Africa has high carbon lock-in risks [13], unless rapid decarbonization occurs.

Embodied emissions are projected to grow most in Nigeria, South Africa, Mozambique, and Egypt. Of these countries, Nigeria is on the list of the ten most-threatened countries by climate change, along with six other African countries² [3]. Further, six countries accounted for 80% of the total direct emissions in Africa in 2017³ [11]. Thus, emission reduction strategies that include material efficiency to benefit the most vulnerable countries need to be considered.

Conclusions and Recommendations
- Introduce material efficiency techniques for concrete, steel, and aluminium, as these three materials are projected to have the highest mass or embodied emissions by 2065.
- Creating material pools that trade key materials, encouraging efficient manufacturing, managing scrap material, and considering material lifecycles in design stages are possible material efficiency strategies in electricity generation.

---

² Central African Republic, Chad, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Sierra Leone, and South Sudan
³ South Africa, Egypt, Algeria, Nigeria, Morocco, and Libya.
Promote the use of low-carbon materials, designs that optimize emission reductions, and material production efficiency so material efficiency strategies can spill over to the buildings, transport, and industrial sectors.

- Investigate the potential embodied emission savings by evaluating regional material producers and suppliers.
- Material efficiency is estimated to contribute 30% of the combined emissions reduction for concrete, steel, and aluminium globally in 2060 [14], highlighting similar opportunities for Africa.
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Climate Compatible Growth (CCG) programme: CCG is funded by the UK’s Foreign Development and Commonwealth Office (FCDO) to support investment in sustainable energy and transport systems to meet development priorities in the Global South.
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